

ADDENDUM A-01

Project:	Request for Proposal – Town of Drumheller Flood Mitigation Environmental Management Services #2022-02-01
Addendum:	A-01
Date:	February 14, 2022
Location:	224 Centre Street Drumheller, Alberta

To All Bidders:

1. General

- 1.1. This addendum shall be read in conjunction with the Request for Proposal #2022-02-01 - Flood Mitigation – Environmental Management Services.
- 1.2. Where inconsistent with the above, this addendum shall govern. This addendum forms an integral part of the Contract Documents and shall be included therein.
- 1.3. No consideration shall be allowed for increases (extras) to the CONTRACT PRICE due to failure of the Contractor or Subcontractor not being familiar with this addendum.
- 1.4. The Bidder shall insert in the Tender Form the number(s) of the addenda received by them during the tendering period and taken into account by them in preparing their tender.

1. A – Questions

Q1 Are the existing consultants (KCB, SWT, WOOD, KWL) permitted to bid on this Project?

- A1 Yes
- **Q2** Please provide clarification on the 'cost' assumptions for the Project. Without prior commitments with regulators (e.g., DFO Authorization would trigger far more extensive environmental coordination then a simple Letter of Advice), an understanding of the completeness of supporting documents to date, or anticipated length of construction does not allow for a simple comparison of hours. Is it possible to set an expected average monthly coordination (as per discussed in Budget section) per month such that a fair comparison can be completed through various consultants?
- A2 The Environmental coordination scope is expected to average about 20-30hrs/month. This will of course vary as we go through the project and approval agency requirements. Proponents are to identify anticipated time and budget for construction monitoring based on past experience.

- **Q3** The RPF indicates tree health assessments completed in 2021 for Dike D, Newcastle. and Midland dikes. Was a tree inventory GIS database already developed for those areas, or would the tree inventory database requested in the RFP cover all Project areas?
- A3 A GIS tree inventory assessment database was created for the Newcastle, Midland, and Downtown Dike D projects and uploaded into the Town's GIS system. All the other project areas will require similar GIS tree databases to be completed.
- **Q4** Are we correct to assume that the actual tree health assessment has been completed and this task as outlined in the RFP is focused on the establishment of the database.

If that is the case, can we further assume that the tree health assessment was developed with the goal of supporting a special database (i.e., the spatial information is provided)? Does this include the assessment of species, coordinates, size, and tree health in addition to creating and compiling the GIS tree database?

- A4 A GIS tree inventory assessment database was created for the Newcastle, Midland, and Downtown Dike D projects and uploaded into the Town's GIS system. The assessment included species, coordinates, size, and tree health. All the other project areas will require similar GIS tree databases to be completed. The GIS collection tool and database for Nacmine. Midland and Downtown project areas has already been created. The successful proponent will need to complete field assessment for the remaining project areas.
- **Q5** Are the Public Lands Act Licence of Occupation (DLO/LOC) submissions a part of the proposal scope?
- A5 If required, this would be handled by Hunter Survey Systems.
- **Q6** The environmental assessments to-date (Aquatic and Terrestrial Assessments) were based on preliminary alignments. However, no detailed assessments of instream footprints were done previously. Would detailed assessment of instream footprints be part of this scope? Similarly, would updating the previous assessments to reflect the current alignments be part of this scope?
- A6 Yes
- Q7 Are offset plans (required by DFO to offset any impacts to fish habitat) part of this scope?
- A7 If identified by DFO as part of their review, offset plans would be part of the environmental scope of work.

End of Addendum A-01